R E P O R T R E S U M E S

ED 018 853 24 EA 001 227

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY ON EVALUATION OF ADMINISTRATORS.

OREGON UNIV., EUGENE
REPORT NUMBER BR-5-0217-1 PUB DATE 14 FEB 68
CONTRACT OEC-4-10-163
EDRS PRICE MF-$0.25 HC-$0.44 9P.

DESCRIPTORS- *ADMINISTRATOR EVALUATION, *BIBLIOGRAPHIES, *EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATION, ADMINISTRATOR ROLE, ADMINISTRATOR CHARACTERISTICS, EUGENE,

THIS BIBLIOGRAPHY CONTAINS 88 LISTINGS ON THE EVALUATION OF EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATORS. (HW)

EA 001 227

ED018853





SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EVALUATION OF

ADMINISTRATORS

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY ON EVALUATION OF ADMINISTRATORS

by

ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Administration
University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon

February 14, 1968

The preparation of this bibliography was financed under the provisions of a contract with the Bureau of Research, Office of Education, United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

Anderson, B. D., & Brown, A. F. Who's a good principal? Canadian Administrator, 1966, 6(Dec), 9-12.

Anderson, J. G. The authority structure of the school: system of social exchange. Educational Administration Quarterly, 1967, 3(2), 130-145.

Baich, H. A study of the use of value analysis to differentiate between high and low scoring administrators on the Purdue rating scale. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Oregon) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1965. No. 66-597.

Borg, W. R., & Silvester, J. A. Playing the principal's role. Elementary School Journal, 1964, 64(Mar), 324-331.

Boyd, J. B. How to appraise school superintendents. Nation's Schools, 1966, 78(Jul), 34-38.

Boyd, J. B. How to tell if the board is doing a good job. Nation's Schools, 1966, 77(Feb), 74-78.

Charters, W. W., Jr. Teacher perceptions of administrator behavior. U.S. Office of Education, Cooperative Research Project No. 929. St. Louis: Washington University, 1964.

Cheale, J. E., & Andrews, J. H. M. Role conflict in the leadership of the composite high school. Alberta Journal of Educational Research, 1958, 4(Dec), 221-226.

Christiansen, W. S. The influence of the behaviors of the elementary school principal upon the school he administers. (Doctoral dissertation Stanford University, 1953) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1962. No. 62-339.

Cleland, G. L. Far vision and the near look. National Association Secondary School Principals Bulletin, 1967, 51(Nov) 98-104.

Cooper, B. Analysis of the quality of the behaviors of principals as observed and reported in six critical incident studies. Journal of Educational Research, 1963, 56(Apr), 410-414.

Croft, J. C. Dogmatism and perceptions of leader behavior. Educational Administration Quarterly, 1965, 1(Aut), 60-71.

Croft, J. C. Open-mindedness among administrators. Eugene, Ore.: University of Oregon, 1965.

Croft, J. C. Open and closed mindedness and perceptions of leader behavior. University Park, Penn.: Pennsylvania State University, 1964. CRP-S-045.

Cummins, L. L. Evaluation of performance of elementary school principals in the state of Washington. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Washington) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1966. No. 66-11,988.

Daw, R. W., & Gage, N. L. Effect of feedback from teachers to principals. Palo Alto, Calif.: Stanford University, 1966.

Denny, R. Rating scale for elementary principals. American School Board Journal, 1964, 149(Dec), 11-12.

Dodd, P. C. Role conflicts of school principals. U.S. Office of Education, Cooperative Research Project No. 853, Final Report No. 4. Cambridge: Graduate School of Education, Harvard University, 1965.

Dreeben, R., & Gross, N. The role behavior of school principals. U.S. Office of Education, Cooperative Research Project No. 853, Final Report No. 3. Cambridge: Graduate School of Education, Harvard University, 1965.

Evenson, W. L. Leadership behavior of high school principals; leader behavior description questionnaire. National Association of Secondary School Principals Bulletin, 1959, 43(Sep), 96-101.

Goldman, H. A study of the teacher-administrator relationship and the influence of need patterns. Unpublishe doctoral dissertation, Michigan State University, 1966.

Griffiths, D. E. Elementary-school principal and change in the school system. Theory into Practice, 1963, 2(Dec), 278-284.

Griffiths, D. E. The job performance of school administrators a research development project. New York: Teachers College, Columbia University, 1962. CRP-E-1.

Gross, N., & Herriott, R. E. EPL of elementary principals; a study of executive professional leadership. National Elementary Principal, 1966, 45(Apr), 66-71.

Gross, N., & Others. Explorations in role analysis: studies of the school superintendency role. New York, Wiley, 1958.

Gross, N., & Others. Role conflicts of school principals. Cambridge, Mass.: Graduate School of Education, Harvard University, 1965. CRP-853-4.

Halpin, A. W. The leadership behavior of school superintendents: the perceptions and expectations of board members staff members, and superintendents. Chicago: Midwest Administration Center, University of Chicago, 1959.

Halpin, A. W. "A paradigm for research on administrative behavior." In R. F. Campbell & R. T. Gregg (Eds.) Administrative Behavior in Education. New York: Harper & Row, 1965. C.5, Pp. 155-199.

Hamachek, D. E. Leadership styles, decision-making, and the principal. The National Elementary Principal, 1966, 45(Apr), 26-31.

Hemphill, J. K., & Others. Administrative performance and personality: a study of the principal in a simulated elementary school. Teachers College Press, Columbia University, 1962.

Hemphill, J. K. & Others. Dimensions of administrative performance. New York: Teachers College, Columbia University, 1961. CRP-214.

Hemphill, J. K., & Richards, J. M., & Peterson, R. E. Report of the senior high-school principalship. The study of the secondary school principalship. Vol. 1. Washington, D.C.: National Association of Secondary School Principals, 1965.

Holloway, H. H. Why school superintendents are dismissed or encouraged to leave their position--a study as expressed by members of boards of education involved in selected cases in Michigan. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Michigan, 1966.

How should school board measure the competence of an administrator? Nation's Schools, 1961, 68(Sep), 55-59; (Nov), 62-67.

How to take the guesswork out of choosing a principal. School Management, 1966, 10(Feb), 100-101.

Howell, J. O. Relationships of school superintendents' proficiency in economics to job performance. (Doctoral dissertation, Ohio State University) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1965. No. 65-13,244.

Howsam, R. B., & Franco, J. M. New emphases in evaluation of administrators. National Elementary Principal, 1965, 44(Apr), 36-40.

Hubbard, J. L. Professional role perceptions of public school personnel. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Texas) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1966. No. 66-7336.

Hughes, B. O. An appraisal of Montana school superintendent's attitudes toward and awareness of selected public communication tasks. (Doctoral dissertation, Washington State University) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1966. No. 66-7144.

Immegart, G. L. Dimensions of administrative performance that Hemphill missed; review of administrative performance and personality: a study of the principal in a simulated elementary school, by J. K. Hemphill & D. A. Erickson; reply with rejoinder. School Review, 1965, 73(Sum), 173-184.

Jacobs, J. W. Leader behavior of the secondary-school principal. National Association of Secondary School Principals Bulletin, 1965, 49(Oct), 13-17.

Jensen, L. N. Characteristics of superintendents in innovative and non-innovative school systems and interaction with the Iowa Department of Public Instruction, (Doctoral dissertation, University of Iowa) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1967. No. 67-9069.

Johnson, R. W. Perceptions and expectations of principals and assistant principals pertaining to the role of the assistant principal in selected secondary schools of Colorado. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Denver, 1966.

Karrick, W. L. An analysis of policies and procedures used in hiring school superintendents and the development of guidelines for the selection process. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Indiana) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1966. No. 67-4016.

Kibby, G. How to evaluate your superintendent. School Management, 1965, 9(Aug), 42-45.

Kimbrough, R. B. The behavioral characteristics of effective educational administrators. Educational Administration and Supervision, 1959, 45 (Nov), 337-348.

Klingenberg, A. J. A study of selected administrative behaviors among administrators from innovative and non-innovative public school districts. (Doctoral dissertation, Michigan State University) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1966. No. 67-1647.

Koenig, R. A. Comparative study of superintendents and school boards in Massachusetts and the Bay area. Journal of Secondary Education, 1966, 41(Feb), 51-58.

Lawson, K. W. Factors that influence the assignment of district-level administrators in newly unified school districts in southern California. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Southern California, 1966.

Lien, R. L. Democratic administrative behavior. National Association of Secondary School Principals Bulletin, 1964, 48(Mar), 31-38.

Lowery, R. E. An analysis of the present administrative policy of principals and vice principals in the Calgary public and separate school systems. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Montana, 1966.

Mason, B. T. Teachers evaluate their principal. National Elementary Principal, 1963, 42(Jan), 71-72.

Miner, J. B. The school administrator and organizational character. Eugene, Ore.: Center for the Advanced Study of Educational Administration, University of Oregon, 1967.

Morgan, S. R., Jr. The public school principalship: role expectations by relevant groups. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Utah) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1965. No. 65-13,907.

Morin, L. H. Role perception and principals. Canadian Administrator, 1965, 4(Feb), 17-20.

Morphet, E. L., & Schultz, W. C. Procedures for identifying persons with potential for public school administrative positions. Berkeley: University of California, 1966. CRP-1076.

Morphet, E. L., & Schultz, W. C. Procedures for identifying persons with potential for public school administrative positions, an application and extension of the FIRO Theory of interpersonal behavior. Berkeley: University of California, 1961. CRP-677.

Muse, I. D. The public school principalship: role expectations by alter groups. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Utah) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1966. No. 66-14,264.

National Education Association, Research Division. Assistant superintendents. NEA Research Bulletin, 1962, 40(Feb), 24-28.

Nelson, M. B., Jr. An analysis of administrative decision making through the empirical testing of a model. (Doctoral dissertation, North Texas State University) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1966. No.66-10, 951.

Otto, H. J. Administrative controls in public schools and effective working relationships. Austin: University of Texas, 1966.

Peconga, R. E., Sr. Staff judgment of behavioral characteristics of school superintendents as identified with designed school system operational patterns. (Doctoral dissertation, Purdue University) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1965. No. 66-2303.

Perkins, J. A., & Others. Chief school offices, recommendations and report of a survey. New York, N.Y.: State Regents Advisory Committee on Educational Leadership, 1966.

Powers, T. E. Administrative behavior and upward mobility. Administrator's Notebook, 1966, 14(Sep), 1-4.

Randall, R. S., & Watts, C. B. Leadership behavior, problem-attack behavior, and effectiveness of high school principals. Journal of Experimental Education, 1967, 35(Sum), 1-8.

Ray, H. C. A study of the extent of difference in concepts held by experts in educational administration and experienced teachers in regard to selected administrative principles. (Doctoral dissertation, Washington State University) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1966. No. 66-13,579.

Reece, T. E. The area superintendent in the Los Angeles city school system today and tomorrow. (Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1966. No. 66-11,918.

Reed, L. R. A study of the feasibility of using operational similation techniques for evaluating administrative skills possessed by instructional communications specialists. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Syracuse University, 1966.

Rock, D. A., & Hemphill, J. K. Report of the junior high-school principalship. Washington, D.C.: National Association of Secondary School Principals, 1966.

Rucker, D. P. The impeder concept applied to school district administration. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Southern California, 1966.

Sapone, C. V. An investigation of the perceptual relationships between administrators and teachers concerning the "Self Actual" versus the "Self Ideal" as it may pertain to role conflict in educational environment. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Illinois) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1966. No. 66-12,420.

Seymour, H. C. Principal as the instructional leader. National Association of Secondary School Principals Bulletin, 1967, 51(Nov), 89-97.

Shanks, R. E. Expectations for the school superintendency role. (Doctoral dissertation University of Southern California) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1966. No. 67-430.

Slote, L. M. How to see yourself as your employees see you. School Management, 1966, 10 (Jun), 88-91.

Stromberg, R. P. Value orientatic and leadership behavior of school principals. (Doctoral dissertation, Pennsylvania State University) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1966. No. 67-1960.

Swanson, L. Who is a good principal. Kentucky School Journal 1965, 43(Feb), 50-51.

Swenson, P. S. Journalism teachers rate their administrators. Journal of Secondary Education, 1965, 40(Dec), 373-378.

Tanner, H. G. A study of the relationship between the organizational climate of schools and the social behavior of selected school administrators. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Michigan, 1966.

Teachers and parents describe the effective principal's behavior. Administrator's Notebook, 1955, 4(Sep), 1-4.

Thyberg, C. S. An exploratory study in the use of interpersonal measures in the selection and evaluation of school administrators. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Southern California) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1965. No. 65-7240.

Watkins, J. F. The relationship between the principal and his professional staff in the public school. Auburn, Ala.: Auburn University, 1966. CRP-S-435.

Weldy, G. R. Teachers evaluate their principal. National Association of Secondary School Principals Bulletin, 1961, 45(Oct), 145-150.

White, K. Personality characteristics of educational leaders: a comparison of administrators and researchers. School Review, 1965, 73(Aut), 292-300.

Wiley, E. E. A study of the role of the school administrator in state-level policies. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Ohio State University, 1966.

Williams, R. T. A study of the problems of self-development of a selected number of aspiring and practicing school superintendents. (Doctoral dissertation, Boston University) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1964. No. 66-12,810.

Williamson, B. I. A study of the relationship between community participation and job performance of selected principals. Research Study No. 1. (Doctoral dissertation, Colorado State College) Ann Arbor Mich.: University Microfilms, 1965. No. 65-270.

Wood, C. L. An analysis of the communication of principals and relationship to the satisfaction of teachers in selected dependents' schools. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Iowa, 1966.

Zinn, L. A. Role dimensions of the administrative assistant to the superintendent, related to the organizational climate of the central office in selected Ohio school districts. (Doctoral dissertation, Ohio State University) Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms, 1966. No. 66-10,046.